| Case 1:07-cv-04402-AKH Document | 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 1 of 11 |
|---|---|
| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK | |
| IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION | 21 MC 100 (AKH) |
| JOSEPH SPARACIO | DOCKET NO. |
| Plaintiffs, - against - | CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT |
| A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., | PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY |
| SEE ATTACHED RIDER, | |
| Defendants. | |
| By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellers 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for | stein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006. |
| NOTICE (| OF ADOPTION |
| All headings and paragraphs in the Master C instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addit Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, be | with an ' \square '' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), |
| Plaintiffs, JOSEPH SPARACIO, by his/her/th/NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), r | eir attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & respectfully allege: |
| I. PAR | RTIES _ |
| A. PLAIN | VTIFF(S) |
| 1. ☑ Plaintiff, JOSEPH SPARACIO (he and a citizen of New York residing at 56-14 Bell Blvd (OF | · · · · · |
| 2. Alternatively, ☐ is t, and brings this claim in his (her) c | the of Decedent apacity as of the Estate of . |

| Cas | se 1:07-cv-04402-AKH Document | 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 2 of 11 | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| citizen of | residing at | (hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a, and has the following relationship to the | | |
| Injured Plaint | ☐ SPOUSE at all relevant times h | nerein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the | | |
| | · · | nd (his wife), Plaintiff Other: | | |
| 4. Edison of Nev | In the period from 9/11/2001 to 10/20/w York, Inc. as a Auto Mech - A at: | 2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Consolidated | | |
| I | Please be as specific as possible when fi | lling in the following dates and locations | | |
| Location(s) (i | I Trade Center Site (i.e., building, quadrant, etc.) | The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total. | | |
| | y <u>8</u> hours per day; for y <u>30</u> days total. | ====================================== | | |
| ☐ The New `From on or ab Approximatel Approximatel | York City Medical Examiner's Office bout until, ly hours per day; for y days total. | Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below: | | |
| ☐ The Fresh From on or ab Approximatel Approximatel | Kills Landfill out; y hours per day; for y days total. | From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite: | | |
| | nis information on a separate sheet of pa "Other" locations, please annex a separa | per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify | | |
| 5. | Injured Plaintiff | | | |
| | Was exposed to and breathed nabove; | oxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated | | |
| | ✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all dates at the site(s) indicated above; | | | |
| | Was exposed to and absorbed of the site(s) indicated above; | or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at | | |
| | ✓ Other: Not yet determined | | | |
| | | | | |

6.

| U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 4 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdraw by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 4 | Injured | Plaintiff |
|---|---------|---|
| 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 4 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdraw by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 4 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any | V | §405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 |
| by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 4 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any | | 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 |
| 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 4 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| | | 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any |

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

| ☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK | ☑ A RUSSO WRECKING |
|--|---|
| ✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and | ☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC. |
| served on 11/2/06 and | ☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC. |
| ☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50- | ☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT, |
| h the CITY held a hearing on(OR) | INC. |
| ✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as | ☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. |
| required by General Municipal Law \$50-h | ✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED |
| | HAULING, LLC, INC. |
| ✓ More than thirty days have passed and | ☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP |
| the City has not adjusted the claim | ☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL |
| (OR) | CORPORATION |
| ☐ An Order to Show Cause application to | ☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC. |
| deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of | ☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION |
| Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant | ☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. |
| Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim | ☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS, |
| Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of | INC. |
| Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a | ☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION |
| determination | CORP |
| ☐ is pending | ☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC. |
| Granting petition was made on | ☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC. |
| ☐ Denying petition was made on | ☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP |
| | ☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC. |
| PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND | ☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP. |
| NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"] | ☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS, |
| ✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served | P.C. |
| pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The | ☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP |
| Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New | ☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP |
| York on 11/2/06 | ☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF |
| ✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since | NEW YORK, INC. ✓ CORD CONTRACTING CO. INC. |
| the Notice of Claim was filed, (and) | ☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC ☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC. |
| ☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has | ☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC. ☐ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH |
| adjusted this claim | ☑ DAROTA DEMO-TECH ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP |
| ☑ the PORT AUTHORITY has not | ☑ DIAMOND FORT EXCAVATING CORP |
| adjusted this claim. | ☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC. |
| | ☑ DATE ENTERPRISE, INC. |
| ☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC | ☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS |
| □ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC | CORP |
| ☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC | ☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY |
| □ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC | ☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC. |
| ☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC | ☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC. |
| 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC | ☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. |
| ☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC | ☑ EN-TECH CORP |
| □ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC | ☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL |
| ☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P. | □EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL |

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

 \square RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

 \square SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP
✓ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

☑ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ OTHER:

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

| ☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner | ☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Name: |
| Business/Service Address: | |
| Building/Worksite Address: | Building/Worksite Address: |
| ☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee | · · |
| Name: | |
| Business/Service Address: | |
| Building/Worksite Address: | |

Case 1:07-cv-04402-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

| Stabil | val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 | urisdiut the U.S.C | iction, (or); \square Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has $2. \ \ 1441$. |
|-----------------|---|--------------------|---|
| | III CAUSES | S OF | ACTION |
| of lial law: | Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above bility, and asserts each element necessary to est | | d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive |
| V | Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240 | V | Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation |
| ▼ | Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6) | | ☑ Air Quality; ☑ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided |
| ✓ | Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a | | (specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined |
| V | Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e | | Wrongful Death |
| | | | Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff |

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-04402-AKH Document 1 Filed 03/20/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

| | Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: | V | Cardiovascular Injury: <u>Cardiac Problems</u> Date of onset: <u>8/17/2004</u> Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: <u>To be supplied at a later date</u> |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| \ | Respiratory Injury: and Respiratory Problems Date of onset: 8/17/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date | V | Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 8/17/2004 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date |
| | Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: | V | Other Injury: Anxiety; Joints aching/pains, numbness; Sleep Problems Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date |

NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

| Guiii | *5°° | |
|---------------------|--|------------------------------|
| <u></u> | Pain and suffering | ✓ Other: Not yet determined. |
| V | Loss of the enjoyment of life | |
| V | Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity | |
| ✓ | Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation | |
| V | Other: ☑ Mental anguish ☑ Disability ☑ Medical monitoring | |

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York March 6, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Joseph Sparacio

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the $\label{eq:plaintiff}$ plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

perjury that:

DATED: New York, New York

March 6, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK |
|--|
| JOSEPH SPARACIO, |
| Plaintiff(s) - against - |
| A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., |
| Defendant(s). |
| SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT |
| WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 |
| To Attorney(s) for |
| Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. |
| Attorney(s) for |
| EASE TAKE NOTICE: |
| that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20 IOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc., |
| |